

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/663,533	09/16/2003	Adam M. Gilbert	AM100279/WYNC-0677 3576	
23377 75	590 01/21/2005		EXAMINER	
	WASHBURN LLP		HUANG, EVELYN MEI	
ONE LIBERTY PLACE, 46TH FLOOR		OR .	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1650 MARKET STREET			ARTOMI	TALER NOMBER
PHILADELPH	IA, PA 19103		1625	

DATE MAILED: 01/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/663,533	GILBERT ET AL.			
Ť	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Evelyn Huang	1625			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 20 December 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the same of the	ation. A proper reply to a			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	Brief must be filed within the pe R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	•				
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceliNOTE: .	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		eparate, timely filed amendment			
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 26 and 33-52.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) applied applied on is a)	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.			
9. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·			
10.⊠ Other: <u>see attachment</u>					
		Evelyn Huang Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1625			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Application/Control Number: 10/663,533

Art Unit: 1625

Attachment to Advisory Action

The 112 first paragraph for claims 26, 33-52 is maintained for reasons of record.

Applicants' arguments and the references submitted with the response have been fully considered but deem insufficient to obviate the rejection.

The 5HT1A receptor antagonist may abolish the body temperature decrease upon 5 HT1A activation (Ootsuka et al), may induce a decrease in REM sleep (Sorensen et al), or may decrease palatable food consumption in rats (Moreau et al). However, there is little support for the use of 5HT1A antagonist for treating all types of 'appetite control' (which encompass the opposing hyperphagia and anorexia), 'disorders of thermoregulation' (which includes the opposing hypothermia and hyperthermia), 'sleep dysfunction' (which covers both insomnia and narcolepsy) as recited in the instant claims. Since these are general classes of disorders embracing opposing and conflicting conditions arising from diverse origins, one of ordinary skill in the art recognizes that it is impossible to use a single 5HT_{1A} receptor antagonist compound of the instant to treat all these contradictory disorders.

In the instant 5HT_{1A} antagonist art, a high degree of unpredictability exists in that slight change in the structure of the compound would drastically alter its affinity and selectivity (Wijngaarden, Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas, 1993, 112:126-130, pages 129-130, Fig. 6, Fig. 7, Fig. 8), and the in vitro binding data do not necessarily reflect the in vivo activity, the required disclosure will be greater than for the disclosure of an invention involving a predictable factor such as a mechanical or electrical element. In re Vaeck, 20 USPQ 2d 1438. Since the above studies (and the studies described in Lanfumey et al. and Kwon et al) are based on 5HT1A antagonists structurally removed from the instant compound, one of ordinary skill in the art has little basis to extend the data in these references to the instant.

In conclusion, in view of the state of the art, the high degree of unpredictability of the art, the limited working examples, the scope of the claims does not commensurate with that of the objective enablement. Insufficient teaching and guidance have not been provided in the specification to enable one of ordinary skill in the art to make and use the invention as claimed without undue experimentation.